

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/445,523	12/07/1999	TSUYOSHI MAEDA	9319S-000114	1024	
75	590 08/11/2003				
HARNESS DICKEY & PIERCE			EXAMINER		
PO BOX 828 BLLOMFIELD	HILLS, MI 48303		NGUYEN,	DUNG T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	•	Application No.	pplicant(s)	1
Office Action Summary		09/445,523	MAEDA ET AL.	•
		Examiner	Art Unit	
- ,		Dung Nguyen	2871	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	s
THE M - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory perion for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the modern patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a increase. It reply within the statutory minimum of thir riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Af	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this commun BANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)	nication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	24 February 2003 .		
2a) <u></u> □		This action is non-final.		
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uncon of Claims	owance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the me D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	rits is
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10 and 14-26 is/are pending in	the application.		
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 14-26</u> are subject to rest on Papers	riction and/or election require	ement.	
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ ad		he Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to			
11) 🔲 T	he proposed drawing correction filed on			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in			
12) 🗌 T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
riority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) 🗌	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
_	☐All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.		
,	2. Certified copies of the priority docum		pplication No	
	 Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International 	oriority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage	е
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a	•		u (* :
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome			ication).
15) 🗌 A	☐ The translation of the foreign language cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 35 U.S.C.	een received. §§ 120 and/or 121	
· — ttachment(and promy under do d.d.d.	ری ن ده سانا/۱۷ اخا،	
) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of i	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>
	demark Office			

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendment dated 02/24/2003 has been received and entered.

Election/Restrictions

1. This amendment dated 02/24/2003 contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species (A): A liquid crystal display (LCD) device comprising a plurality of transparent electrodes being formed directly on reflective films (claims 1-3).

Species (B): An LCD device comprising an insulating film being disposed directly on reflective films, a plurality of transparent electrodes being formed directly on the insulating film (claims 4-10 and 17).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic claim.

Any claims not listed in the above groups will be examined in conjunction with the elected species of each group.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

Art Unit: 2871

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-0423. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 703-305-3492. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7726 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/445,523

Art Unit: 2871

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

DN 08/06/2003

Dung Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2871